Peer Review of Town-Wide Underground Utilities Master Plan Summary of Report Findings

Town of Palm Beach

Presented by: Anthony Hanson

December 12, 2017





Peer Review Objectives

Project Overview

 Perform independent, technical peer review of the "Town-Wide Undergrounding of Utilities Master Plan" completed in April 2017 by Kimley-Horn and Associates

Project Goals

- Analyze the project's approach and design, the master plan, scheduling, sequencing, phasing, and the probable cost of construction.
- Report on potential real, tangible improvements/modifications available to the Town/KHA



Commitment of TC and UTTF

• The dedication of the TC and UTTF to executing this project in the best way possible is unmistakable.

Complexity of Project Scope

 We recognize the extensive scope of this undergrounding project and acknowledge all the hard work put into its development.
 There is lots of good work being done.

Stakeholder Participation

 We greatly appreciate the active participation and cooperation of all the project's stakeholders, including the Town's staff, the KHA design team, staff from FP&L, and the Phase 1 CMAR contractors and electrical subcontractors.

Our Contribution

 While we hope to be helpful, our peer review analysis and recommendations constitute only our opinions as outside consultants performing a thorough, albeit concise review.

Peer Review

Tasks

Kickoff Meeting

Data Request and Review

 Reviewed reference materials, comprising more than 62 individual documents totaling more than 2,500 pages.

Stakeholder Consultations

- Interviewed the Town's staff, the KHA design team, staff from FP&L, Comcast, and AT&T, the Phase 1 CMAR contractors and electrical subcontractors, as well as electrical subcontractors whose bids for work were unsuccessful.
- Attended meeting of the UTTF Committee on 10/03/2017

Peer Review Focus Areas

- Our analysis concentrated on these areas:
 - ∘ Focus Area I Program Management & Delivery Method
 - Focus Area II Costing and Applicability of Opinion of Probable Cost
 - Focus Area III Scheduling and Sequencing
 - Focus Area IV Planning and Engineering Design
 - ∘ Focus Area V − Traffic Impacts
- There are several changes we believe have the highest potential to mitigate risk and positively impact cost, schedule, and/or quality of this project.

- Finding 1.3: Implement a formal Project Management Plan (PMP)
 - Project Governance and Execution Document
 - Accumulation of multiple sub-plans discussing Scope,
 Timing, Cost, Personnel and Resource Management,
 and Communication
 - Guides management action to ensure "no surprises"
 - Dynamic, living document to be used as part of project execution, not something that sits on a shelf

- Finding 1.1 and 3.2: Maintain CMAR delivery method, but execute as single contract utilizing the unit pricing approach
 - CMAR is an acceptable delivery method
 - Execute single CMAR contract for remaining phases
 - Phases as currently designed remain in place
 - Utilize unit pricing as basis for establishing and adjusting contract value throughout life of project (Finding 2.1)

- Finding 2.1: Prepare deterministic cost estimate for future phases/entire project
 - Master Plan estimates are based on historical costs for similar systems, which is acceptable for that stage of a project
 - Typically, project estimates are based on actual project details established during the preliminary design phase
 - Process is complicated by lack of electrical detail or schematic drawings. Requires system inventory.
 - Could increase confidence in original cost estimate

- Finding 4.2: Vet FP&L design and negotiate improvement on equipment selection where possible
 - Currently being done at Design level
 - Should be discussed among entire project team to get consensus of preferences based on cost and functionality
 - Make informed decision on type and quantity of switchgear
 - Confirm cost difference for TPB-specific application

Switchgear Comparison





PME







Thank you!

Feedback and Questions?

